Ha 9th aero kin.

Femo for Record

Subject: "Falling Leaves"

11 JAN 1963

- 1. This memo contains the following:
 - a. History of "Falling Leaves" (Attachment 1).
 - b. General Statements (Attachment 2).
- c. Critique results of the debriefing of each of the senior TDY operations officers from Moorestown, Laredo, and Thomasville (Attachment 3).
- 2. In addition, a written report from each site outlining specifigs is available from 90DC.

Captair, USAP

Com I de la lane GEORGE S. FULGHAM Captain, USAF

WILLIAM C. WATTS Lt Colonel, USAF

APPROVET:

PRANK E. ANGIER Colonel, USAF Deputy for Operations

3 Atch

l. History of "Pailing

Leaves."

2. General Statements

3. Critiques, Moorestown, Laredo, and Thomasville

DISTRIBUTION:

9CCN SODC

87-542 LC

- 1. The "falling Leaves" project was implemented as a result of President Kennedy's address to the Nation on 22 Oct 62.
- 2. The Commander, 9ADD, directed his staff to explore the feasibility and possible utilization of SPACETRACE facilities against the Cuban IRBM/MRBM threat.
- 3. On 23 Oct, the Commander, 9ABB, directed his staif to prepare a presentation for Gen Jensen. Also on 23 Oct, ABC received a telephone request from USAF establishing a requirement for information on the possible utilization of the soorestown, Laredo, and Trinidad facilities against the Cuban ballistic missile threat. ABC requested that 9ABB provide feasibility studies and concepts of operation for using the Moorestown sensor and other available facilities against the Cuban threat.
- 4. In response to the above request, a letter and briefing were presented by 9 NDD Commander to DCS Operations, ADC, at 15302, 24 Oct 62.
- 5. At 18154, 24 Oct, as a result of telephone calls from USAF, the Moorestown tracker was turned south and went to a 24-hour surveillance operation.
- 6. The first message from ADC to Chief of Staff, Air Force, SECRETHOFORN ADCOC 2844, dated 24 Oct 62, established the fessibility and concept of employment for Mecrostesin and other U.S. sensors in this missile warning function.
- 7. The first operational instructions provided to Moorestown quoted a USAF message, SECRETHOFORNEX CANADA AMOOP-CP 93086. DOD requested a priority be applied to detection of IRBM's launched from Cuba. 9CCR message 025 SECRETHOFORNEX CANADA prov ed initial operating instructions for the Moorestown facility. 80PC message 114/62, dated to the Moorestown facility. 80PC message 114/62, dated for Moorestown SPACETRACK and CCADF.
- s. Initial planning for the training of temporary-duty personnel was undertaken at this time. Personnel for operation at the Moorestown facility were to be trained on 28-29 Oct, and in place on 30 Oct for operations of the Moorestown tracker. Additional training programs were established to incorporate complete training for personnel assigned to the larede and Tacmasville facilities.

400062-5561B

- 9. 90DC message 110/62 SECRETNOFORNEX CANADA, dated 28 Oct, was forwarded to suprestown. The message contained operational instructions and procedures for Yoorestown, SPACE-TRACK and CCMDF. This encompassed the automatic operation of the Moorestown facility utilizing their tactical computer program pertaining to the detection, discrimination and impact prediction of INBM's and MADM's.
- 10. Upon receipt of ADC concurrence in the proposal and use of the Laredo facility, 90DC message 118/62, subject: Operational Instructions and Procedures for Laredo, was issued on 28 Oct 62. Included in this message, prior to actions of 28 Oct 63, ADC advised this headquarters by their message SECRETMOFORNEX CANADA ADOUP-EI 2899, dated 2d Oct 62, that special sensor equipment for the AN/PS-35 Radar at Thomasville AFS, Alabama, would be included in the "Falling Leaves" set. Col waltz and a tenm of officers from 9ADD departed on 27 Oct to brief the 32 Air Div Commander and the Thomasville AFS Commander and operations personnel. This message established a tentative operations date of 29 Oct.
- 11. The first personnel were trained for the Thomasvillo facility on 28 and 29 Oct and transported to be in place on 29 Oct. 90DC message 122/62, dated 29 Oct 62, provided the operational instructions and procedures for Thomasvillo AFS.
- 12. ADC message ADOOP-ES 2838, subject: (U) Expansion of Meorestown Radar Coverage, was received on 29 Oct. This expansion provided for a 60-degree scan to increase the coverage of the Cuban effort.
- 13. On 1 Nov 62, ADC in their message ADOOP-ES 3004 directed expansion of coverage of the Moorestown tracker facility in support of "Falling Leaves." This increase in coverage expanded the launch areas to include all the sea areas south and north of Cube that were within the current established agianth limits.
- 14. For a remainder of the "Falling Leaves" exercise, numerous procedural changes were developed to provide increased capabilities within the system. As an example, launch information on USAF orbital and sub-orbital flights was provided. The launch information and count down were necessary to prevent falso reports of uncorrelated targets or small game reports appearing in the area of coverage. Additionally, those count downs provided a positive thack of the system.

- 15. During the next 15 days numerous stops were taken to clear up and modify the operation to provide the bost cossible data for MORAD use.
- 16. During the week of 18-23 Nov, numerous briefings were conducted to reacted the status of the "Falling Leaves" effort in the face of a leasening threat. On 23 Nov, Col Waltz presented a briefing to Gen Lee recommending a change in status of the Mecrostown and Leredo facilities.
- 17. On 28 Nov, based upon a phone call from ADC, Col Lund advised Heoresteen that they would be on a 15-minute recall for the "Falling Leaves" project, and to concentrate on the SPACETRACK effort during their normal operating shifts. At this time, Luredo was removed from the "Falling Leaves" effort and Thomasville was retained on the project until further notice. This ADC phone call from Haj Garcia to Col Lund was not followed up by message. The fellow-up message was withhold pending a DOD decision to terminate the "Falling Leaves" effort.
- 18. On 30 Nov, ADOOP-ES mossage 3326, subject: (U) Operation of Moorestown Tracker Facility, was forwarded to this headquarters. This message confirmed action of 28 Nov, placing the Moorestown facility on a 24-hour per day operation in the SPACETRACK effort and retaining a 15-minute recall capability for "Falling Leaven."
- 19. On 6 Dec, SADD received a SECRETNOFORN message ADDOP-ES 3373, subject: (U) "Falling Leaves." This message directed that immediate action be taken to discontinue the "Falling Leaves" system and to return all conserts to their original missions. All sensors were advised by telephone upon receipt. BODC message 153/62 SECRET, referencing the above, cited ADC message terminating the "Falling Leaves" operation. All personnel were immediately relieved by authority of CINCNORAD and directed to return to their permanent duty stations. All equipment associated with "Falling Leaves" was to return to real configuration and/or to original organisations. Sites were to return to their original missions.

تمسعد سيميس يديه

GENERAL STATEMENTS

- l. It must be understood that the entire "Falling Leaves" effort was a crash program using existing radar equipment, not specifically designed to detect ballistic missiles launched from the Cuban land mass.
- 2. Probabilities of detecting missiles from various Cuban launch angles varied from 0 to 95 per cent for each radar sits.
- 3. The attached critique reports, per se, present an over optimistic evaluation of the system capability, and therefore, should be considered in the context of the above facts.

DEBRIEFING OF "FALLING LEAVES"

MCORESTORM

Lt Col Allen, senior TDY SSSO at Moorestown for "Failing Leaves," presented a briefing on the Moorestown operation.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

- 1. The part played by Hoorestown in project "Falling Leaves" was instrumental in providing the capability for detecting missiles launched from Cuba.
- 2. The Boorsstown sensor site satisfactorily performed its assigned mission; however, major equipment failures were encountered in the antenna support structure.
- 3. All military and civilian personnel associated with the Mcorestova complex should be commended for superior performance.

PROBLEM AREAS:

- 1. Programing of the 7090 computer to conform to the threat and subsequent checkout required considerable time and tost prior to finalization.
- 2. Lack of prior experience in this type of operation and the limited available time to establish the "Falling Loaves" project lend to a lack of technical and operational guidance, specifically:
- s. No standard for operability criteria for radar transmitters.
- b. No 9 Aerospace Def Div OPI designated to coordinate operational matters with Moorostowa.
- 3. Friendly launch informat to and count down was not available on a timely basis.
- 4. ... The CCODF "Falling Leaves" duty officers did not receive a complete system indectrination.
- 5. APC and BFO issued conflicting mission directives which resulted in conflucion. This conflict occurred when the site was placed on 15-minute recall for the "Falling Leaver" mission.

- 6. Equipment failures were encountered in the following areas:
- a. Antenna support failure and subsequent inspection was the major contributor to red time.
- b. Intermittent transmitter failure caused by overloading circuit breakers was the second most important contributor to red time. (Most transmitter failures were of short duration.)

CONCLUSION:

- 1. That the Moorestown facility did provide an acceptable detection capability against the Cuban missile threat.
- 2. Experience gained from "Falling Leaves" could possibly be applied against the SLEM threat.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. That the "Falling Leaves" computer program be maintained at Moorestown for an immediate response.
- 2. .) That standards for radar transmitter operability be established by 9ADD.
- 3. That future operation plans designate a 9ADD boadquarters OPI for contralized control.
- 4.) That a precedure be established to allow an immediate "on-call" reaction for launch and final count-down information, be provided all seasons.
- 5. That all personnel associated with "light future operations receive a complete indoctrination and system orientation prior to engaging in the operation.
- 6. That ADS invos* 'quin the problem of conflicting mission directives.
- 7. That the proposed SLBM emergency action plan reflect Ecorostown's capability and operational limitations.
- 8. That personnel assigned to the SSSO position be of 1744 level of experience or higher.

DEBRIEFING OF "FALLING LEAVES"

LAREDO

Maj Glenn, one of the TDY SSSO's at Laredo for "Falling Leaves," presented a briefing on the Laredo operation.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

- 1. The Larede sensor site performed its "Falling Leaved" mission in a satisfactory unner.
- 2. Based upon known capability of the site, "small gome" sightings which were not tracked required verification by Moorestown, since Laredo's sightings alone afforded a low credence value to the over-all system.
- 3. Due to the normal mission of Laredo, contractor experience in the area of ballistic missiles was very limited. There was considerable doubt as to the adequacy of scope interpretation during periods of real-time display (RTD) degradation.

PROBLEY AREAS:

- 1. The real-time display equipment, shipped from the Shemya sensor site for the "Falling Leaves" mission, experienced several outaged caused by a lack of spare parts and maintenance instructions.
- 3. There is no provision for performing dynamic target tests on the system. Therefore, system degradation could have been present without knowledge of the operating crew.
- 3. The SSSO did not exercise operational control over the contractor crew.
- 4. Since the Laredo sensor site has been assigned to 9ADD, the system has nevia had the opportunity to prove its capability to acquire and track a missile.
- 5. The position manned by the SSSO was not commensurate with their rank, AFSC and experience.
- 6. Contractor crows were apparently not fully briefed on the over-all "Falling Leaves" operation, especially the duties of the \$350.

CONCLUSION: That the Laredo sensor provided a limited ballistic missile dotection capability (not verified) to project "Falling Loaves."

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. That adequate spare parts and operating and maintenance instructions be provided to support the RTD equipment.
- 2. That the lack of dynamic testing be examined by the contractor to determine what, if any, degree of degradation is suffered.
- 3. That on future exercises of this type the site commander will insure that the TDY operations personnel have full authority to act for the site commander in regards to operational problems.
- 4. That personnel assigned to the SSSO position be of 1744 level of experience or higher.
- 5. That contractor crews be fully briefed on over-all mission operation and military responsibility regarding operational control.
- 6. That the Laredo sensor site be tasked immediately to observe, orquire and track friendly missiles within their area to determine their capability to track and predict impact.

DEBRIEFING OF "PALLING LEAVES"

THOMASVILLE

Lt Col Gordon, the OIC of "Falling Leaves" at Thomasville, presented a briefing to members of the 9ADD staff at 0830 hours, 12 Jan 62, on the site's efforts in the "Falling Leaves" mission.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

- 1. The Themasville sensor site performed its "Falling Leaves" mingion in a satisfactory manner.
- 2. All military and civilian personnel at Thomasville are to be commended for superior performance.
- 3. The Thomasville facility was rapidly modified from an ACAN test configuration to afford a missile surveillance and detection capability of Cuban launches.

PROBLEM AREAS:

- 1. There was not a qualified maintenance officer assigned to the site.
- 2. Since the AN/FPS-35 facility was a prototype without an ADC surveillance mission and since special equipment was required for medification of the radar, numerous cutages attributable to a lack of spare parts and documented maintenance instructions were encountered.
- 3. The ADC V-24 document reflected erronsous coordinates for the station which resulted in correlation errors of known satellite penetrations.
- 4. On-line crypto facilities were not available for immediate was at the Themaswille site. As a result, classified "Falling Leaves" traffic encountered unacceptable delays between transmission and reception.

CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. The Thomasville sensor provided a surveillance and limited warning capability to project "Falling Leaves."
- 2. Based upon debriefing and written report from Thomaswille, it appears that the AN/FPS-36 with further medification could provide a limited SLBM detection capability.

RECOMMENDATION 9:

- 1. That should a rivilar mission be assigned Thomasville, a Radar Maintenance Officer, AFEC 3616, should be assigned to supervise radar maintenance.
- 2. That Mq 9ADD, in support of stated requirements, request approval for an immediate go shead to test further modifications of the radar to determine the feasibility of using the AN/FPS-35 to detect SLEM's.
- 3.) That the operating command insure that adequate communication facilities, including on-line crypto, exist prior to activation of the facility for a "Falling Leaves" type mission.